UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,034	03/19/2004	Paul Lapstun	NPA136US	7056	
	24011 7590 08/21/2007 SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD			EXAMINER	
393 DARLING STREET			PHAM, THIERRY L		
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/804,034	LAPSTUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thierry L. Pham	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	ne 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
*					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/07.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ratent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

• This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 6/5/07.

- Claims 1-9 are currently pending.
- IDS filed on 4/16/07 has been considered and herein attached (PTO 1449) with Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori (US 6137590), and Lopresti et al (US 5754308), and further in view of Winter et al (US 200100406850.

Regarding claim 1, Mori discloses a copier (fig. 3) including:

- a scanner (different types of scanners can be used, col. 4, lines 25-35 and col. 5, lines 40-45) for scanning a document containing document content (document 10, fig. 7), first coded (coded data 10a, fig. 7) data indicative of an identity of the document and user input (user's input, col. 8, lines 25-37);
- a detector (bar-code reader of identification code read section 8, fig. 6, col. 5, lines 4-62) for detecting said scanned first coded data (coded data 10a, fig. 7) for accessing a digital version of the document (accessing and retrieving digital version of the document, fig. 7, col. 7, lines 1-10) and said scanned user input to determine a user request (col. 8, lines 25-37); and
- a printer (printing section 5, fig. 6) adapted for printing a retrieved digital version of the document (retrieved copy is printed via printing section 5, col. 7, lines 1-10) and to incorporate a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy (via using second identification code assignment section 9, fig. 22, col. 13, lines 10-54).

Mori teaches an image forming apparatus for scanning, retrieving, and printing digital version of the document via detecting/sensing coded data 10a on printed document 10, but

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034

Art Unit: 2625

explicitly fails to teach and/or suggest a printer adapted for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy in accordance with user request.

Lopresti, in the same field of endeavor for an image forming apparatus, teaches a well-known example of a copier (fig. 3) having a printer adapted for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy (printing/copying a "scanned" document along with newly DocID, col. 7, lines 35 to col. 8, lines 13) in accordance with user request (fig. 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify image forming apparatus of Mori to include a printer engine for printing a copy of the digital version of the "scanned" document and to incorporate a second coded data identity of an identity of the copy as taught by Lopresti because of a following reason: (•) to obtain a high quality reproductions of document by scanning and utilizing reproduction information encoded in the inventive indicia provided on the printed document (col. 2, lines 8-13 of Lopresti); (•) to retrieve and reproduce subsequent originals of printed document quickly and conveniently via using encoded DocIDs (col. 2, lines 15-22).

The combination of Mori and Lopresti fail to teach and/or suggest a scanner for scanning a surface of a document having a user input marked on the surface of the document in order to access a digital version of the document.

Winter, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches a well-known example of a scanner (ref. 13, fig. 1) for scanning a surface of a document (proof sheet containing user input marked on a proof sheet, figs. 3-6) having a user input marked on the surface of the document in order to access digital version of the document (fig. 7) to ensure that the correct picture is selected for printing via using marked proof sheet.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori and Lopresti with Winter to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 1 further including a network interface (I/F 2, fig. 1, col. 3, lines 25-28 and col. 4, lines 40-60) for transmitting to a

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034

Art Unit: 2625

computer system data indicative of said first coded data and for receiving from said computer system response data (fig. 15) indicative of an identity of the copy.

Regarding claim 3, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 2 further including a coded data generator (identification code assignment section 7, fig. 6) adapted to generate said second coded data from said response data.

Regarding claim 4, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 1 wherein said copier is adapted to communicate (I/F 2, fig. 1, col. 10, lines 45-55) with a server (col. 4, lines 60-63) for allocating a unique identifier to each copy.

Regarding claim 5, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 4 wherein data indicative of said unique identifier is incorporated into said second coded data (barcode 10a, fig. 7) and is printed in said printed copy.

Regarding claim 7, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 2 further adapted to transmit through said network interface (I/F 2, fig. 1) second document data representing said copy of the document to enable said second document data to be stored.

Regarding claim 8, Mori further discloses a copier according to claim 7 wherein said second document data can be retrieved (retrieving digital copy via scanning barcode10a, fig. 7) through said network interface to enable reproduction of said copy with both document content and coded data.

Regarding claim 9, Lopresti further discloses a copier according to claim 1, wherein the printer is further adapted to incorporate (printing/copying a "scanned" document along with newly DocID, col. 7, lines 35 to col. 8, line 13) in said printed copy portions of said document content as scanned by the scanner not contained (newly DocID not previously in digital version of document, col. 7, lines 35 to col. 8, line 13) in said digital version of the document in accordance with said user request (user request, fig. 5) determined from the scanned user input.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori, Lopresti, and Winter as described in claim 1 above, and in view of Dymetman et al (US 6330976).

Regarding claim 6, combinations of Mori and Lopresti disclose a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy, but fail to teach and/or suggest wherein a second coded data device is indicative of a plurality of reference points on a printed copy to identify the position of the sensing device relative to the copy.

Dymetman, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches a second coded data indicative of an identity of the copy, but fails to teach and/or suggest wherein a second coded data device (col. 3, lines 50-67 and col. 9, lines 15-21) is indicative of a plurality of reference points on a printed copy to identify the position (location identifier coded data for identifying location of a photograph, zone, and etc within a document, col. 3, lines 58-67 and col. 8, lines 60-67) of the sensing device relative to the copy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Mori and Lopresti inventions to include coded data identifying a position of the sensing device relative to the copy as taught by Dymetman because of a following reason:

(•) the bar reader not only reads embedded coded data, but also determines the exact location/position of its pointer; by doing so, it ensures the users that the right coded data is read;

(•) adding a location identifier coded data to identify the position of the sensing device relative to the copy helps user to easily locate the location of the tags.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori, Lopresti, and Winter with Dymetman to obtain the invention as specified in claim 6.

Art Unit: 2625

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection due to newly added features/limitations as cited in claim 1.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,034

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thierry L. Pham

GABRIEL GARCIA PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 7